Reverse water-gas shift chemistry inside a supersonic molecular beam nozzle
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ABSTRACT

Resistive heating of the metal surface of a supersonic molecular beam nozzle is shown to be very effective in converting CO2 diluted in H2 to CO and H2O via the reverse water–gas shift (RWGS) reaction at temperatures that preclude simple pyrolysis. The conversion of CO2 to CO, referred to herein as "RWGS yield," exceeds 80% at nozzle temperature above 1000 K, with a detectable methane byproduct. The stainless-steel surface of the nozzle appears to facilitate the reaction as a heterogeneous catalyst. Reaction yield is observed to increase with nozzle temperature and, when the gas mixture contains a significant excess of H2, decrease with increasing in nozzle stagnation pressure. The inverse dependence of the reaction on stagnation pressure is used to propose a reaction mechanism. Additional kinetic control over the mechanism is afforded by adjusting reactant partial pressures and residence times inside the nozzle reactor, highlighting this method’s utility in screening heterogeneous catalysis reactions with fine control over mass flow rates, pressure, and temperature. The results of this study, therefore, present a route to efficient, high pressure, inline catalysis as well as a method to rapidly assess the viability of new catalysts in development.

1. Introduction

Thermodynamic equilibrium of the system containing carbon dioxide, hydrogen, water vapor, and carbon monoxide is at the center of mass production of high-demand chemicals including ammonia and methanol [1,2]. The direct reaction of carbon dioxide with hydrogen is an endothermic reaction known as the reverse water–gas shift reaction (RWGS). The RWGS reaction is endothermic by 41 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), expressed by the equation [3]:

\[
\text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]

This reaction has not attracted as much attention as its forward-direction counterpart, but the RWGS reaction, particularly at high temperatures, can often play a role in the overall observed kinetics. RWGS conversion is typically below 50% under typical experimental conditions [3–7] and extraneous alcohol or hydrocarbon byproducts often accompany the desired products. These byproducts come from Fischer-Tropsch reactions branching from intermediate steps in the RWGS reaction [8,9].

Two general methods are employed for the water-gas shift reactions: high-temperature pyrolysis and heterogeneous catalysis [4,5,10–13]. The former typically requires temperatures above 1000 K to overcome the energetic barrier. The latter is generally efficient for a variety of metal and metal-oxide catalysts [12]. The experimental conditions in the current study produce a facile route for high conversion yield of CO2 to CO as measured by time-of-flight mass spectrometry (TOF-MS). Unique to this study is the use of a supersonic molecular beam as the reactor. The nozzle’s stainless-steel surface serves as the heterogeneous catalyst and the products are sampled via TOF-MS after exiting the beam’s hot nozzle.

This beam-as-reactor method has been used to generate radical reactants via pyrolytic unimolecular dissociation [14,15] as well as to study catalytic hydrocarbon formation. Shebaro et al. reported large hydrocarbons, including benzene, in the product stream of a supersonic beam of ethane at elevated nozzle temperatures [16,17]. Romm et al. expanded upon this work; they elucidated the interplay of kinetic and thermodynamic control of methane pyrolysis in a heated nozzle by identifying changes in products under different flow conditions [18,19]. In addition to the catalytic role of the nozzle material, relatively short nozzle residence times and the rapid cooling of reaction products in the expansion lead to a unique reaction environment in which traditional steady-state equilibrium assumptions do not apply.

In the current study, we use a similar setup to sample the RWGS reaction products. Unlike prior work sampling reaction products via TOF-MS following desorption from a surface, we feed the reactive mixture of H2 and CO2 into the hot stainless-steel nozzle of the supersonic beam source, which serves as the catalytic site [20–23]. Downstream, with a mass spectrometer along the direct line-of-sight from the...
differentially pumped beam, we measure conversion yields of CO₂ to CO of ~50% by 800 K; the yield is above 80% by 1000 K. We discuss the reaction mechanism and examine the extent of extraneous Fischer-Tropsch reactivity under these conditions. We also determine the extent of kinetic control over the product stream afforded by our experimental conditions.

2. Experimental

2.1. Supersonic beam source

Experiments were conducted using a triply-differentially pumped supersonic beamline, described previously [24]. A gas mixture of 10%, 50%, or 88% CO₂ diluted in H₂ flows into a 316 stainless-steel nozzle fitted with a 20 μm diameter pinhole made of molybdenum. Platinum pinholes were also tested to confirm that the pinhole material had no impact on reaction yield. Coiled along the outer length of the gland is resistively-heated wire (Thermocoax). A thin tantalum sheet is secured around the wire coil and gland with copper wire; this construction promotes efficient and even heating along the length of the gland. The temperature is held constant with an Omega CN76000 temperature controller, monitored by a Type-K thermocouple spot-welded onto the tip of the nozzle ~2–3 mm from the pinhole. With this setup, reactivity was studied using nozzle temperatures up to 1025 K and stagnation pressures between 600 and 3100 kPa (90–450 psi). The beam was always equilibrated for at least 30 min prior to data acquisition after changing either the nozzle temperature or stagnation pressure.

The supersonic beam is modulated by a chopper wheel located in the first differential chamber of the beamline. The beam is square-wave (SQW) modulated at 100 Hz with a 50% duty cycle. Relative product intensities are detected downstream by an inline quadrupole mass spectrometer located in the adjacent ultra-high vacuum (UVH) chamber open to the beamline.

2.2. Calculating RWGS yield

Quantifying CO₂ to CO conversion involves comparison of SQW modulated data at m/z = 28 and m/z = 44 at room and elevated temperatures. Total intensity at any given m/z value is derived from the difference in the sums of four consecutive 200 μs bins with the beam passing and blocked by the chopper wheel. At room temperature, the CO/CO₂ intensity ratio is not zero due to electron dissociative detachment of CO₂. Thus, the signals obtained at m/z = 28 and m/z = 44 with the nozzle at room temperature are:

\[ I_{28} = CQ_{28}[NCO₂;σ₂] \]

\[ I_{44} = CQ_{44}[NCO₂;σ₄] \]  

And the intensity ratio at room temperature (with no reaction), \( η_{r} \), is therefore:

\[ η_{r} = \frac{I_{28}}{I_{44}} = \frac{CQ_{28}[NCO₂;σ₂]}{CQ_{44}[NCO₂;σ₄]} = \frac{Q_{28}σ₂}{Q_{44}σ₄} \]  

\[ η_{r} = \frac{I_{28}}{I_{44}} = \frac{CQ_{28}[NCO₂;σ₂]}{CQ_{44}[NCO₂;σ₄]} = \frac{Q_{28}σ₂}{Q_{44}σ₄} \]  

\[ NCO₂ \text{ and } NCO \text{ are the number densities of } CO₂ \text{ and } CO, \text{ respectively. } \]

The intensity ratio during catalysis, \( η_{l} \), is now:

\[ η_{l} = \frac{I_{28}}{I_{44}} = \frac{CQ_{28}[NCO₂;σ₂] + CQ_{28}[C₂O;σ₂]}{CQ_{44}[NCO₂;σ₄]} = \frac{Q_{28}σ₂}{Q_{44}σ₄} \]  

\[ η_{l} = \frac{I_{28}}{I_{44}} = \frac{CQ_{28}[NCO₂;σ₂] + CQ_{28}[C₂O;σ₂]}{CQ_{44}[NCO₂;σ₄]} = \frac{Q_{28}σ₂}{Q_{44}σ₄} \]  

The new ionization cross-section introduced in Eq. (7) is simply [26]:

\[ CO + e^− \rightarrow CO^+ + 2e^−; σ_{CO} = 1.82Å^2 \]  

Dividing Eq. (8) by Eq. (4) and solving for the CO to CO₂ number density ratio, \( R \), eliminates the transmission coefficients from consideration:

\[ R = \frac{N_{CO}}{N_{CO₂}} = \left( \frac{η_{l}}{η_{r}} - 1 \right) \frac{σ₂}{σ₄} \]  

The fraction of CO₂ converted to CO, defined here as the RWGS yield, is a function of \( R \) defined in Eq. (10):

\[ \frac{N_{CO}}{N_{CO₂} + N_{CO₂}} = \frac{R}{R + 1} \]  

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Evidence for RWGS reaction

Reactivity is evident in comparing representative SQW modulated data in Fig. 1 obtained from a supersonic beam with a stagnation pressure of 1725 kPa. Near room temperature, when no reaction is expected, there are the anticipated signals at m/z = 28 and m/z = 44, attributed entirely to the ionization and dissociative detachment of CO₂ in the beam (Eqs. (5) and (6)). Upon heating the nozzle to 800 K, however, the CO₂ intensity drops significantly, while the signal at m/z = 28 increases. We also observe new signal intensity at m/z = 18, due to H₂O formation in the nozzle. This indicates significant RWGS reactivity.

Though the RWGS reaction is the primary mechanism, we also observe some evidence that secondary reaction channels are active at the highest temperatures studied. For example, we see significant signal
intensity at \( m/z = 15 \) that cannot be attributed to the initial gas mixture. Shown in Fig. 2, the normalized intensity at \( m/z = 15 \) increases with nozzle temperature, peaking near 800 K before starting to decrease thereafter. Moreover, after extended use at the highest temperatures studied (weeks of operation with consistent daily use), the nozzle eventually clogs with soot (or coke) and must be taken apart and soiled to continue.

Under our reaction conditions, both Fischer-Tropsch or \( \text{CO}_2 \) methanation (Sabatier) reactions are possible explanations for this observation [27,28]. In monitoring the product stream, however, we do not see any evidence of Fischer-Tropsch byproducts such as formaldehyde and ethanol (\( m/z = 29 \) and 31, respectively) or other large, unsaturated hydrocarbons. This makes sense, as significant Fischer-Tropsch reactivity would necessarily consume any \( \text{CO} \) generated by the RWGS reaction. Any trace Fischer-Tropsch reactivity that is taking place is likely, under our conditions, to have high methane selectivity anyway due to our high temperatures and \( \text{H}_2/\text{CO} \) ratios, as well as the nozzle’s role as an un-promoted iron-based catalyst [29–31]. It is possible that the decrease in methane signal above 800 K is due to some methane coupling in the nozzle [16–19], contributing to the eventual clogging, but in general it appears that the most significant secondary reaction is simply the production of methane or other surface carbide species directly from \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2 \) [32–34]. We also note that these observations align well with previous reports from Romm et al., which demonstrated that metal nozzles were more prone to contamination with surface carbide species and correspondingly less active in the conversion of methane to higher hydrocarbons [18,19].

Notably, the stainless-steel nozzle does not exhibit considerable RWGS catalytic activity until it has been thermally annealed under vacuum for dozens of hours. Fig. 3 demonstrates the effect of vacuum annealing of the nozzle at 800 K on the RWGS yield. The yield, as measured using a nozzle temperature of 800 K and stagnation pressure of 1725 kPa, is essentially zero with no treatment, and has leveled off near \( \sim 50\% \) after 180 h of vacuum annealing at 800 K. This observation can be rationalized by considering the stainless steel surface. As produced, the passive property of stainless steel derives from iron and chromium oxide surface layers. Annealing under vacuum, however, can significantly alter the composition of the surface. At modest vacuum annealing temperatures, (500–800 K), the surface sees a decrease in graphitic and hydrocarbon contamination as well as an increase in chromium content [35–39]. Both of these effects may be crucial in maintaining a high number of active sites on the catalytic substrate. Mixed iron and chromium oxides are common catalysts for both the forward and reverse water-gas shift reactions \([1,12,40,41]\). Many researchers have also highlighted the significant role chromium plays in stabilizing the surface and preventing sintering \([1,40,42,43] \). Though the prevention of sintering may be more directly relevant to powdered catalytic systems, it is clear that given the vacuum annealing time required to achieve significant catalytic activity, chromium enrichment, as cited above, is an important effect and directly enables the high-yield RWGS reaction.

At constant stagnation pressure, RWGS yield is obtained only at high nozzle temperatures. This is expected from the reaction’s endothermicity. Shown in Fig. 4, once the nozzle temperature exceeds 650 K, conversion of \( \text{CO}_2 \) to \( \text{CO} \) is observed, and the total conversion increases with increasing temperature throughout the entire range studied in this work. While hydrocarbons are evident to some extent, the total conversion of \( \text{CO}_2 \) to \( \text{CO} \) is very high above 800 K, reaching above 80% by 1025 K. It is worth noting, however, that the yield appears to plateau at the lowest and highest temperatures studied. The fact that there is no significant yield below 650 K suggests a thermally activated process; likely the dissociation of \( \text{H}_2 \) [44–47]. It is less likely that this activation arises from a sudden freeing of surface active sites for dissociative adsorption; \( \text{CO} \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \) are present in such dilute amounts, and their desorption from Fe-based surfaces typically occurs at slightly higher temperatures [48–51]. At the highest temperatures studied, on the other hand, increases in reaction yield appear to slow. Again, this behavior may be understood by considering the composition of the stainless steel interface at these temperatures. While modest annealing temperatures lead to chromium enrichment as discussed above, temperatures above 1000–1100 K lead to a significant depletion in surface chromium levels [36,37,52–54]. A loss of active sites, therefore, may explain why peak reactive yields do not exceed 85%.

Fig. 2. TOF-MS signal at \( m/z = 15 \) is observed at high temperatures in the nozzle, likely corresponding to the generation of methane. Above \( \sim 800 \text{ K} \), the relative amount of methane in the product stream decreases, signaling loss of methane likely due to coupling Fischer-Tropsch processes. These data were collected using the 10\% \( \text{CO}_2 \) gas mixture at 1725 kPa. Dotted line is drawn to guide the eye.

Fig. 3. RWGS yield as a function of vacuum annealing treatment of nozzle. The 316 stainless-steel nozzle does not show appreciable catalytic activity of any kind until it has been annealed at 800 K under vacuum. The RWGS yield (for the 10\% \( \text{CO}_2 \) gas mixture at a stagnation pressure of 1725 kPa) reaches 50\% after roughly 180 h of vacuum annealing at 800 K. Dotted line drawn to guide the eye. Error bars represent one standard deviation.

Fig. 4. RWGS yield increases as a function of nozzle temperature. Given the endothermic nature of the reaction, increasing the nozzle temperature leads to a higher RWGS yield for the 10\% \( \text{CO}_2 \) gas mixture at a constant stagnation pressure of 1725 kPa. Dotted line drawn to guide the eye. Error bars represent one standard deviation.
3.2. Reaction mechanism and kinetic control

The mechanisms of RWGS reactions have been studied both in the gas phase and over catalysts [4,6,7,10,12]. Several early investigations suggested surface-mediated processes, with the mechanism broadly classified as either regenerative or associative [4,7]. Reported mechanisms are frequently dependent upon catalyst composition and reaction temperature, and considerable dispute remains in mechanistic studies [2,4,28]. In light of our current results and utilizing some key assumptions, we attempt to describe the RWGS reaction mechanism taking place within our nozzle. High-temperature pyrolysis would require temperatures higher than those studied here, so we can assert that a surface-specific process is taking place [8,10]. Several authors have studied RWGS reactivity under similar temperature and pressure conditions with inert quartz reactors and conversion was typically less than 0.1% [3,6]. Bustamante and co-workers compared yields for quartz and metallic chambers; they found a dramatic increase in catalytic activity with a metallic surface, resulting in conversion rates of up to 55% at 1173 K under ambient pressure [3,55]. They concluded that the metal surfaces of the reactor directly catalyzed the reaction. Similarly, surface-facilitated reactions utilizing supersonic beams have also been demonstrated in other studies. For example, Romm et al. reported close to 100% conversion of ethane to heavier hydrocarbons in a supersonic nozzle beam; their proposed mechanism relied heavily on surface-mediated hydrogen abstraction processes [18,19].

Based on the above discussion, we postulate one possible mechanism (Scheme 1), wherein the (*) subscript denotes a surface site and X* is a surface-adsorbed X species. This mechanism is based on the frequently-cited redox mechanisms for high-temperature WGS catalysis in both the forward and reverse directions [1,7,41,56]. Given the large excess of H2 in our reaction mixture and the relatively facile dissociation on steel, we assume that the limiting step under our reaction conditions is the dissociation of CO2. Therefore, the overall rate can be represented by:

\[
\text{Rate} = \frac{d[P_{CO2}]}{dt} = k_3 \theta_{CO2} \theta_X
\]

(12)

Additionally, the fractional coverage of adsorbed CO2 (\(\theta_{CO2}\)) can be determined from the equilibrium in step 2:

\[
\theta_{CO2} = K_{P_{CO2}} \theta_X
\]

(13)

By combining these two equations and assuming that hydrogen coverage on the surface remains approximately constant and CO2 is competing for the remaining sites, we can derive a rate expression:

\[
\text{Rate} = \frac{d[P_{CO2}]}{dt} = \frac{k_3 K_{P_{CO2}}}{(1 + K_{P_{CO2}}\theta_X)^2}
\]

(14)

Though we do not measure rates directly in this study, we can approximate them by recognizing that nozzle residence times do not change as a function of stagnation pressure [57]. Therefore, measuring yield as a function of CO2 partial pressure allows us to partially assess the feasibility of this rate law. These results are shown in Fig. 5, where RWGS reaction yield is observed to decrease as a function of CO2 partial pressure. Eq. (14) is a good fit for the data, but our limited pressure range makes it difficult to say with certainty that this predicted rate law completely describes the reaction. It is clear, however, that there is a small negative power dependence for the rate on CO2 partial pressure. This has been observed occasionally in previous studies [1,58], but we note that it is common for WGS power rate laws to change significantly across different catalyst systems, temperatures, and relative reactant pressures. In other words, Eq. (14) may only be appropriate for the data in this particular pressure, temperature, and reaction mixture regime.

When considering the RWGS mechanism, we also note the interplay between heterogenous catalysis and the unique role of the supersonic expansion and nozzle geometry. The lineage of this discussion stretches back to the cited work by the Herschbach and Somorjai research groups [16–19]. As discussed by Shebaro et al. [16,17], the metal nozzle plays a clear catalytic role, likely involving the dissociation of H2 and CO2 in this case. Under our high pressure conditions, these radical and surface-bound species react rapidly to form RWGS products. Gas phase products are then quickly quenched by the expansion and exit the beam, circumventing further degradation and reaction on the hot nozzle surface [18,19]. Residence times in the current study are on the order of 10–100 ms, which provides enough time for the reaction to take place, while also cooling and removing the products (specifically CO) before they can continue to react significantly to form heavier hydrocarbons via Fischer-Tropsch synthesis [59]. It appears, therefore, that the mechanism reported here depends on both the nature of the supersonic expansion (control of residence times and rapid removal of reaction products) and the catalytically-active metal nozzle.

The challenge of establishing a universal mechanism for this reaction is highlighted when we consider that the dissociation of H2 (Scheme 1, step 1) can also be a key step, depending on reaction conditions. In the 10% mixture, H2 drastically outnumbers the CO2. Given the facile splitting of H2 on the stainless steel, we assume that the oxygen atoms left from the dissociative chemisorption of CO2 can generate water immediately (Scheme 1, step 4). Strengthening this argument are a series of experiments we performed with two additional mixtures of CO2 and H2: 50% and 88% CO2 diluted in H2. The results in Fig. 6 show that the RWGS yields for the less dilute mixtures are significantly lower than the 10% mixture over the same high temperature range. Though the yield may be decreasing in part due to the increase in CO2 partial pressures for the 50% and 88% mixture (as discussed for Fig. 5), it also appears that excess H2 is critical in facilitating high yields. Without an excess of H2 in the feed gas, the rate-limiting step may change, leading to a slowdown in CO production; though CO2 may be able to adsorb more readily, the number of hydrogen atoms on the surface available for reaction will also decrease.

4. Conclusions

Utilizing a supersonic expansion from a heated stainless steel nozzle, we demonstrate selective CO2 reduction to CO by H2 involved in
the RWGS reaction. This reaction exhibits conversion yields above 80% at nozzle temperatures above 1000 K. The yield of the reaction increase as nozzle temperature increases and decreases with higher stagnation pressure (and CO2 partial pressure). The stainless-steel surface was found to serve as a heterogeneous catalyst for the reaction. A small amount of methane is formed as a byproduct, and may be further converted to heavier hydrocarbons when the nozzle temperature is above 800 K.

We propose a mechanism for the reaction under our conditions and probe its applicability through precise control of reaction mixture, residence time in the nozzle reactor, and nozzle temperature. The nozzle reaction chamber is a unique environment in which heterogeneous catalysis on the nozzle surface is coupled with rapid cooling of reaction products in the supersonic expansion. Future work may expand this investigation to include the impact of different nozzle materials and pinhole sizes on mechanism and total reaction yield. In addition to characterizing the RWGS reaction catalyzed on stainless steel under high pressure conditions, this supersonic expansion technique also provides broad opportunities to screen various catalytic reactions under variable pressure and temperature conditions. It is feasible for this technique to facilitate such reactions with surface-generated gas-phase radicals, followed by rapid desorption and cooling of the intermediate products. This method, therefore, affords a rapid, quantitative, and comprehensive screening ansatz of catalytic efficiency.
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